UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,591	03/08/2002	Shinji Yamamori	Q68895	1085

65565 7590 06/25/2008

SUGHRUE-265550
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213

EXAMINER	
MOSS, KERI A	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1797	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/092,591	YAMAMORI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KERI A. MOSS	1797	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE *3* MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *06 March 2008*.

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1,3-5,9,10,13-15,17,18,20,21,24 and 26-43* is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☒ Claim(s) *42 and 43* is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1,3-5,9,10,13-15,17,18,20,21,24 and 26-41* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. All previous rejections under 103(a) have been maintained.

2. New claims 33-43 are acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims **1, 3, 5, 9-10, 13, 17-18, 33-38** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamori et al. (USP 5,957,127) in view of Dietz (USP 5,005,571). Yamamori discloses a sensor adapted to measure the concentration or presence/absence of carbon dioxide in respiratory gas from a living body, comprising a light-emitting light element (part 3) operable to emit light, a light-receiving element (Fig. 12, part below 6) adapted to receive the light emitted from the light-receiving element, a support member (part 2) supporting the light-emitting element and the light-receiving element such that they are opposed to each other on a single optical axis, the support member being adapted to be located below the nostrils of a living body (at the esophagus or also parts 1a and 1b may be placed just below the nostrils), a respiratory flow path (either part 2e or 1e) formed in the support member so as to cross over the optical axis and adapted to allow the respiratory gas to pass therethrough when the support member is located below the nostrils of the living body and a first guide member

(part 1a or 1b) adapted to introduce the respiratory gas from the nostrils to the respiratory gas flow path. The support member contains an engagement member (Fig. 9) adapted to be engaged with a tubular member for supplying oxygen to the nostrils. A second guide member (part 1 a or 1b) capable of guiding the respiratory gas from a mouth of the living body to the respiratory flow path. The sensor may also comprise an oxygen mask (Fig. 3). The sensor also comprises an airway case (part 1) adapted to be located below the nostrils of the living body and having a pair of openings opposing each other and a pair of transparent thin films (parts 1d and 1c) respectively sealing the openings and a pair of supporting members supporting the light-emitting element and the light-receiving element such that they are opposed to each other on a single optical axis through the openings (Figs. 9, 11 and 12, part 2). The first guide member is removably engaged with the support member (Fig. 9). The airway case comprises a pair of openings opposing each other (parts 1d and 1c), a respiratory flow path extending between the openings, a pair of transparent thin films (inherent) respectively and a pair of supporting members (Fig. 12 parts supporting parts 3 and part 6) so as to oppose each other on a single optical axis through the openings.

Regarding claim 10, the respiratory flow path (including part 1e) is part of the support member and forms an interior surface of the support member. And whereas the respiratory flow path and thus the support member are on the interior of the oxygen mask (Fig. 3), the support member is disposed on an interior surface of the oxygen mask.

Yamamori does not disclose the use of a mask with ear straps nor a guide member with nasal prongs. Dietz discloses a nasal cannula with prongs used with or without a mouth nose mask (Figs 1 and 2; column 2 lines 32-43) for the purpose of sensing inhalation. The nasal cannula uses ear straps adapted to be hooked around ears for holding the cannula below the nostrils (Figs. 1 and 2). The advantage of using a nasal cannula for sensing is that a nasal cannula is more efficient in detecting nasal inhalation when breathing occurs through a nose (column 2 lines 32-38). The mouth nose mask makes it possible for the nasal cannula to function when the mask user's upper nasal passageways are blocked and breathing takes place through the mouth (column 2 lines 44-47). An additional advantage of using the mask and nasal cannula in combination is that the mouth nose mask allows fluids being delivered by a nasal cannula to enter the mask and be inhaled orally when upper nasal passageways are blocked and breathing takes place through the mouth (column 2 lines 54-57). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Yamamori by adding a nasal cannula with ear straps alone or in combination with a mask to the disclosed sensing device in order to gain the advantages of more efficient detection, of ensuring fluid delivery and detection takes place when a patient's nasal passageways are blocked and to ensure fluid delivery through the mouth when the patient's nasal passageways are blocked.

5. Claims **1, 3, 5, 9, 13-14, 17-18 and 33-38** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fertig et al. (USP 5,095,900) in view of Dietz (USP 5,005,571).

Fertig discloses a sensor adapted to measure the concentration or presence/absence of carbon dioxide in respiratory gas from a living body, comprising a light-emitting light element (Fig. 1 part 16) operable to emit light, a light-receiving element (Fig. 1 part 22) adapted to receive the light emitted from the light-receiving element, a support member (Fig. 1 part 14) supporting the light-emitting element and the light-receiving element such that they are opposed to each other on a single optical axis, the support member being adapted to be located below the nostrils of a living body, a respiratory flow path (Fig. 1 labeled II) formed in the support member so as to cross over the optical axis and adapted to allow the respiratory gas to pass therethrough when the support member is located below the nostrils of the living body (esophagus) and a first guide member (Fig. 4, part 10) capable of introducing the respiratory gas from the nostrils to the respiratory gas flow path. The support member contains an engagement member (the back end of the open area of part 12, labeled II) adapted to be engaged with a tubular member for supplying oxygen to the nostrils. A second guide member (Fig. 4 part 9) capable of guiding the respiratory gas from a mouth of the living body to the respiratory flow path. The sensor also comprises an airway case (Fig. 4 part 2) adapted be located below the nostrils of the living body (i.e. at the esophagus) and having a pair of openings opposing each other (Fig. 4 parts 6 and 8) and a pair of transparent thin films (Fig. 4 parts 6 and 8) respectively sealing the openings and a pair of supporting members supporting the light-emitting element and the light-receiving element such that they are opposed to each other on a single optical axis through the openings (Figs 9, 11 and 12, part 2).

The first guide member is removably engaged with the support member. The thin films are anti-fogging films (column 3 lines 18-27).

6. Fertig does not disclose the use of a mask with ear straps nor a guide member with nasal prongs. Dietz discloses a nasal cannula with prongs used with or without a mouth nose mask (Figs 1 and 2; column 2 lines 32-43) for the purpose of sensing inhalation. The nasal cannula uses ear straps adapted to be hooked around ears for holding the cannula below the nostrils (Figs. 1 and 2). The advantage of using a nasal cannula for sensing is that a nasal cannula is more efficient in detecting nasal inhalation when breathing occurs through a nose (column 2 lines 32-38). The mouth nose mask makes it possible for the nasal cannula to function when the mask user's upper nasal passageways are blocked and breathing takes place through the mouth (column 2 lines 44-47). An additional advantage of using the mask and nasal cannula in combination is that the mouth nose mask allows fluids being delivered by a nasal cannula to enter the mask and be inhaled orally when upper nasal passageways are blocked and breathing takes place through the mouth (column 2 lines 54-57). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Fertig by adding a nasal cannula with ear straps alone or in combination with a mask to the disclosed sensing device in order to gain the advantages of more efficient detection, of ensuring fluid delivery and detection takes place when a patient's nasal passageways are blocked and to ensure fluid delivery through the mouth when the patient's nasal passageways are blocked.

7. Claims **1, 3, 5, 9, 13-18, 20-21, 24-26 and 33-41** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Neil et al. (USP 5,957,127) in view of Dietz (USP 5,005,571). O'Neil discloses a sensor adapted to measure the concentration or presence/absence of carbon dioxide in respiratory gas from a living body, comprising a light-emitting light element (Fig. 2 part 11) operable to emit light, a light-receiving element (Fig. 2 part 13) adapted to receive the light emitted from the light-receiving element, a support member (Fig. 2 part 5) supporting the light-emitting element and the light-receiving element such that they are opposed to each other on a single optical axis, the support member being adapted to be located below the nostrils of a living body, a respiratory flow path (Fig. 2 between parts 7 and 9) formed in the support member so as to cross over the optical axis and adapted to allow the respiratory gas to pass therethrough when the support member is located below the nostrils of the living body and a first guide member (Fig. 1 part 21) adapted to introduce the respiratory gas from the nostrils to the respiratory gas flow path. The support member contains an engagement member (Fig. 2 parts 7 and 9) adapted to be engaged with a tubular member for supplying oxygen to the nostrils. A second guide member (Fig. 1 part 23) capable of guiding the respiratory gas from a mouth of the living body to the respiratory flow path. The sensor also comprises an airway case (Figs 1 and 3, part 4) adapted to be located below the nostrils of the living body and having a pair of openings (parts 17) opposing each other and a pair of transparent thin films (column 5 lines 59-62)) respectively sealing the openings and a pair of supporting members supporting the light-emitting element and the light-receiving element such that they are opposed to

each other on a single optical axis through the openings (Figs 9, 11 and 12, part 2). The first guide member is removably engaged with the support member. The airway case comprises a pair of supporting members (parts located at 7 and 9) each of which is adapted to removably engage with one of the light-emitting element and the light-receiving element such that they are supported so as to oppose each other on a single optical axis through the openings (Fig. 2). The thin films are anti-fogging films (column 5 lines 59-62).

8. O'Neil does not disclose the use of a mask with ear straps nor a guide member with nasal prongs. Dietz discloses a nasal cannula with prongs used with or without a mouth nose mask (Figs 1 and 2; column 2 lines 32-43) for the purpose of sensing inhalation. The nasal cannula uses ear straps adapted to be hooked around ears for holding the cannula below the nostrils (Figs. 1 and 2). The advantage of using a nasal cannula for sensing is that a nasal cannula is more efficient in detecting nasal inhalation when breathing occurs through a nose (column 2 lines 32-38). The mouth nose mask makes it possible for the nasal cannula to function when the mask user's upper nasal passageways are blocked and breathing takes place through the mouth (column 2 lines 44-47). An additional advantage of using the mask and nasal cannula in combination is that the mouth nose mask allows fluids being delivered by a nasal cannula to enter the mask and be inhaled orally when upper nasal passageways are blocked and breathing takes place through the mouth (column 2 lines 54-57). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosures of Yamamori, Fertig or O'Neil by adding a nasal cannula with ear straps alone or in combination with a mask to the

disclosed sensing device in order to gain the advantages of more efficient detection, of ensuring fluid delivery and detection takes place when a patient's nasal passageways are blocked and to ensure fluid delivery through the mouth when the patient's nasal passageways are blocked.

9. Claims **1, 3-5, 9-10, 13, 15, 17-18, 20-21, 24, 26-41** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Toole (USP 6,379,312) in view of Passaro et al. (USP 4,423,739) and further in view of Yamamori, supra. O'Toole discloses an apparatus for sensing carbon dioxide while delivering oxygen to the patient. The device includes nasal tubes (first guide member) as well as oral tubes or oral apertures for receiving a breath sample (second guide member). The body of the device functions as a mask. The nasal tubes attach to a conventional cannula, which provides oxygen. The conventional cannula contains ear straps. The nasal tubes for a Y-shape (Figs 2 and 3 – dotted outline within the body 10). The mask is substantially parallel with the face of the living body.

While O'Toole does not teach using the cord for sending signals as an ear strap, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to move the cord from part 26 from near the patient's mouth to strap around the ear in order to avoid getting it in the patient's or doctor's way.

O'Toole teaches attaching an end tidal carbon dioxide gas analyzer to the mask apparatus, but does not teach the specifics of the sensor (column 3 lines 62-66). Passaro teaches an end tidal carbon dioxide gas analyzer. The analyzer contains a

light-emitting element (Fig. 1 part 11), a light-receiving element (Fig. 1 part 21), a support member supporting the light-emitting element (Fig. 1 parts 13 and 15) and the light receiving element (Fig. 1 part 20), an airway case (part 17) with a respiratory flow path (parts 25 and 27) and a pair of transparent thin films sealing the openings (windows 29).

Passaro does not disclose details of the device used for delivering a patient's expired air into the disclosed sensor; however, Passaro does teach that the patient's breath is conveyed to the inlet of the sample cell from the patient's airway by a suitable mask connection (column 2 lines 34-37). O'Toole provides that mask connection.

O'Toole and Passaro do not expressly disclose a sensor whereby the sensor is adapted to be located between the nostrils and the mouth. Yamamori discloses such a sensor as part of a device incorporating the flow-through method of breath sampling. In Figure 3, Yamamori discloses a device adapted to perform the flow through method by guiding the respiratory gas from the nostrils to the respiratory flow path formed in the support member located between the nostrils and the mouth. The advantages of a device adapted to perform the flow through method over one adapted to perform the gas sampling method are well known among those with ordinary skill in the art (see Applicant's Amendment Remarks filed October 30, 2007, pages 16-17). The concentration profiles in the gas sampling method are somewhat distorted and the results are delayed due to the length of the gas sampling tube. One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the advantages of integrating the sensor of Passaro into the mask of O'Toole to form a single unit like that of Yamamori in order to gain the

advantages of a compact device that does not provide distorted results and that does not provide delayed results. In re Larson, 340 F.2d 965, 968, 144 USPQ 347, 349 (CCPA 1965) ("the use of a one piece construction instead of the structure disclosed in [the prior art] would be merely a matter of obvious engineering choice"). A compact device would also require fewer parts by eliminating the need for long sampling tube from the patient's mouth to the analyzer. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine O'Toole, Passaro and Yamamori to improve the devices of O'Toole and Passaro in a known way and gain the advantages of instant and accurate results.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 42 and 43 are allowed.

11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not teach or suggest the sensor of claim 1 wherein the respiratory flow path is an open channel that allows the respiratory gas to pass through the support member and respiratory flow path and across the optical axis.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicants argue that the O'Neil reference does not teach a sensor having a size adapted to contact a part between nostrils and a mouth of the living body. However, the airway adapter parts 4 and 23, like the airway adapters of Yamamori and Fertig, are clearly capable of contacting a part between the nostrils and the mouth of the patient. The sensor of O'Neil is attached to the airway adapter and the support member has a

size that is adapted to contact the part between nostrils and mouth of the living body. Applicants have provided no evidence that the size is not capable of being located between the nostrils and the mouth. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the Examiner interprets O'Neil as being capable of being located between the nostrils and mouth of a living body.

13. Applicants are reminded that both the following amendments were recommended during the Applicant-Initiated Interview on February 26, 2008 to overcome the prior art:

a. In claim 1, in the paragraph beginning "a support member" applicants are recommended to replace "having a size adapted to be located between nostrils and a mouth of the living body" with "contacting the part between nostrils and a mouth of the living body."

b. In claim 1, in the paragraph beginning "a respiratory flow path," applicants are recommended to clarify that the respiratory flow path is an open channel.

Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KERI A. MOSS whose telephone number is (571)272-8267. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571)272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Keri A. Moss/
Examiner, Art Unit 1797

/Jill Warden/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797